Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s) HILDEBRAND ET AL.	
	10/538,350		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MEHMOOD B. KHAN	2617	

	MEHMOOD B. KHAN	2617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.		
 X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the appropriat	o outonoion foo	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
NOTICE OF APPEAL	F ''' 07 OFD 44 07	Flant - Mile to the second		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t 	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	causa	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cort (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a (corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilane / monamone (i	102 024).	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached response. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
// cotox Kinggid/				
/Lester Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/Mehmood B. Khan/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office